

Capital Programs Support Updated Design Errors & Omissions Evaluation Process

November 18, 2020

#### REASONS FOR UPDATING THE PROCESS

Replace the existing MBTA cost recovery process which dates back to 2005
Create a quality assurance process focused on improving the quality of design submittals.
Integrate with the Consultant Performance Evaluation Reports (CPER's) to set clear expectations for designers and hold them accountable for performance
Confirm the Project Office's role in evaluating a designer's performance
Establish a quantitative threshold tied to Standard of Care
Implement cost recovery, when appropriate
Align more closely with MassDOT Policy

## KEY ELEMENTS OF THE PROCESS

Sets clear expectations for designers with respect to quality of design submittals.
Holds designers accountable for performance
Establishes 3% of construction costs as a fair and reasonable threshold for Standard of Care
Distinguishes an Error from an Omission
Sets designer's responsibility for errors at 100%
Sets Designer's responsibility for omissions at 10%
Creates the E&O Committee to do an independent review of whether a designer has met its
Standard of Care
Establishes criteria for determining if cost recovery is appropriate
Requires Senior Leadership to approve all recommendations related to cost recovery
Maintains Project Office lead role in cost recovery efforts

#### **E&O COMMITTEE MEMBERS**

Chairperson and the Administrator appointed by the Chief of Capital Programs Support Deputy Chief for QA/QC **Deputy Chief for Contract Services** Director of Construction QA/QC Manager of Design QA/QC **OCE** Representative **Project Office Representative** Owner's Representative Member, if required by statute Maintains Project Office lead role in cost recovery efforts Other Expertise, as appropriate

### **NEXT STEPS**

- ☐ Present to ACEC
- ☐ Provide MBTA Project Office Lunch and Learn
- ☐ Update e-Builder Process

.

# QUESTIONS